



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,531	08/23/2000	Allen L. Frazier	10001606-1	5682	
759	90 02/18/2005		EXAMINER		
Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
P O Box 272400	_		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, Co	O 80528-9599		2624		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. I CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR I PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/648531				
,			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

20050215

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/648,531	FRAZIER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen M Brinich	2624				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u> 16 December 2004.</u>					
·	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 1,6-11,16,17,20,21 and 23-31 is/a	are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 2,3,12,13,18,19 and 22 is/are reje	ected.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) 4,5,14 and 15 is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗀 1	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• •	·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		• •	FR 1 121(d)			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	=	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:		.,,,,,,				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bu	•		J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04.							

Application/Control Number: 09/648,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 2-3, 12-13, 18-19, & 22 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinya (EP 0189325).

Re claims 2-3, 12-13, 18-19, 22, Shinya (EP 0189325) discloses (Figures 1A-3; page 5, line 13 - page 6, line 21) an image printer in which a size for each printed dot is determined by a processing operation on the basis of image data pertaining to dot position and dot density of dots as determined from the quantity of dots within a matrix (a square matrix in the case of Figures 2A-2C).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1, 6-11, 16-17, 20-21, & 23-31 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 4-5, & 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2624

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1, 10, 17, & 20 (and dependent claims 6-9, 11, 16, 21, & 23-31), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited determination of dot size determination on the basis of information including local dot density and excluding local dot size.

Re claims 4-5, & 14-15, Shinya discloses the use of a 3x3 matrix. Inasmuch as dot size in Shinya is determined for the purpose of calculating the correct dot size to contact neighboring dots (page 6, lines 1-21), there would be no motivation to use a matrix (e.g. a 5x5 matrix) including dots that are not neighbors of the center dot (and hence there would be no "weighting" for proximity - only dots in immediate proximity, and thus not having different "weights", would be involved).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,531

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872,9306.

Stephen M Brinich

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

February 16, 2005